

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/804,168 Examiner JASON M. PERILLA	Applicant(s) SCHEFFEL, KLAUS <table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width: 50%;">Art Unit 2611</td> <td style="width: 50%;"></td> </tr> </table>	Art Unit 2611	
Art Unit 2611				

All Participants:

(1) Jason M. Perilla.

(2) Alene Neal.

Date of Interview: 14 April 2008

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Claims 1-5, 7-15, 17-20, 26, 28-31, 33, 34, and 36.

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Applicant reviewed and approved a proposed Examiner's Amendment to place the case into direct condition for allowance.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Status of Application: Pending

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: 10am

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)